NATIONAL EDUCATIONAL POLICY OF GREECE

1. ASSESSMENT

1.1. Purposes of assessment							
Policy Measure	No clear Policy or Encouragement or Requirement or Accountability	For secondary only (lower, upper secondary or both) or both primary and secondary or other	Mathemati cs only or other subjects too	In line with the literature	Differentiation regarding the group of teachers (e.g., newly appointed) or group of students (e.g. with special needs)	Period of establishment/Eval uation and Adjustment of policy	
 Diagnostic assessment At the beginning of each school year & contributes to an annual teachers' planning by identifying the level of students Diagnostic tests are not taken into account in the assessment of student performance. Π.Δ. 46/2016	Encouragement	Upper secondary	Other too		no	2016	
 Formative assessment During the school year provides feedback concerning the degree of student's achievement Informs teachers about the results of their work, the teaching methods and tools they use Contributes to self - awareness about the degree of improvement in student's 	Requirement	both	Other too		no	2016	

 performance in order to focus accordingly their effort Serves to inform parents about the progress of their children. Π.Δ. 46/2016 Π.Δ. 126/2016 						
 Summative assessment Objective evaluation of the validity of knowledge and skills of students selection (e.g., access to education, grade retention, pass/fail) certification (e.g., finishing an educational program, central and school exams) Π.Δ. 46/2016 Π.Δ. 126/2016	Accountability	both	Other too		Yes (students with special needs)	2016
 Authentic Assessment Helps students to create the image of their individual progress so that they can improve their performance. Helps teachers to estimate the degree of achievement of their teaching and pedagogical goals learn about the evaluation of the teaching and learning process with a view to its reform. Keep parents / guardians informed about the progress of their children. 	No clear policy/ Encouraged	Primary & Lower secondary	Other too	yes	Yes (students with special needs)	2017

1.2. Techniques of assessment								
Policy Measure	No clear Policy or Encouragement or Requirement or Accountability	For secondary only (lower, upper secondary or both) or both primary and secondary or other	Mathemati cs only or other subjects too	In line with the literature	Differentiation regarding the group of teachers (e.g., newly appointed) or group of students (e.g. with special needs)	Period of establishment/Eval uation and Adjustment of policy		
Formative assessment Participation in the daily work of the classroom and overall activity in school unit $\Pi.\Delta.~46/2016$ $\Pi.\Delta.~126/2016$	Requirement	both	Other too		no	2016		
Formative assessment The work that students perform at school or at home / Homework assignments on a daily basis Π.Δ. 46/2016 Π.Δ. 126/2016	Requirement	both	Other too		no	2016		

Formative assessment Performance file and pupils' activities / Portfolio, record of the participation in the learning process Π.Δ. 46/2016	Encouragement	Upper secondary	Other too	no	2016
Formative assessment Synthetic Creative Works. Creative project which may combine many subject areas (in teams or individually) $\Pi.\Delta.~46/2016$ $\Pi.\Delta.~126/2016$	Requirement	Upper & Lower Secondary	Other too	no	2016
Summative assessment Grading scale in performance Poorly 0 - 5 Insufficiently 5.1 - 9.4 Almost good 9.5 - 13 Good 13.1 - 16 Very good 16.1 - 18 Excellent 18.1 - 20	Accountability	Upper & Lower Secondary	Other too	No	2015
Formative assessment Oral assessment (προφορική εξέταση) Π.Δ. 46/2016 Π.Δ. 126/2016	Accountability	Secondary	Other too	Yes (students with special needs)	2016

Summative assessment The results of performance in written tests $\Pi.\Delta.~46/2016$ $\Pi.\Delta.~126/2016$ Educational Guide for Authentic Assessment	Accountability	both	Other too	no	2016
Summative assessment The results of performance in the intermediate written exams during the two semesters $\Pi.\Delta.~46/2016$ $\Pi.\Delta.~126/2016$ Educational Guide for Authentic Assessment	Accountability	Secondary	Other too	no	2016
Summative assessment The results of performance in final examinations at the end of the academic year $\Pi.\Delta.~46/2016$ $\Pi.\Delta.~126/2016$ Educational Guide for Authentic Assessment	Accountability	Secondary	Other too	Yes (students with special needs)	2016
Summative assessment Characteristics of written final examinations	Accountability	Upper Secondary	Mathemati cs only	no	2015

Selection & application of an appropriate method 862/2015						
Summative assessment Structure of written final examinations Algebra & Geometry Four (4) exam questions: 1st • Five questions (multiple selection / True-False/matching questions) • Simple proof 2nd An exercise (application of a definition / algorithm / proposition) 3rd An exercise (combination and synthesis of concepts & proofs / computational processes) 4th An exercise / problem (combination and synthesis of concepts & analysis of initiatives to develop selection strategies)	Accountability	Upper Secondary	Mathemati cs only			2015
Formative Self-assessment Educational Guide for AuthenticAssessment	Encouragement	Primary & Lower secondary	Other too	yes	no	2017

Formative Peer assessment Educational Guide for Authentic Assessment	Encouragement	Primary & Lower secondary	Other too	yes	no	2017
Formative Systematic Observation Educational Guide for Authentic Assessment	Encouragement	Primary & Lower secondary	Other too	yes	no	2017
Formative Discussions with students Educational Guide for Authentic Assessment	Encouragement	Primary & Lower secondary	Other too	yes	no	2017

1.3. Reporting results to students, parents etc.						
Policy Measure	No clear Policy or Encouragement or Requirement or Accountability	For secondary only (lower, upper secondary or both) or both primary and secondary or other	Mathematic s only or other subjects too	In line with the literatur e	Differentiation regarding the group of teachers (e.g., newly appointed) or group of students (e.g. with special needs)	Period of establishment/Ev aluation and Adjustment of policy

Country's Profile

Summative assessment Performance grades (Oral assessments) $\Pi.\Delta.~46/2016$ $\Pi.\Delta.~126/2016$	Accountability	both	Other too	Yes (students with special needs)	2016
Keep parents informed about the objectives, the evaluation process & the performance of students $ \Pi.\Delta.~46/2016 \\ \Pi.\Delta.~126/2016 $	Requirement	both	Other too	no	2016
Parents get informed about the students' performance in diagnostic tests Π.Δ. 46/2016	Requirement	Upper secondary	Oher too	no	2016

Policy Measure	No clear Policy or Encouragement or Requirement or Accountability	For secondary only (lower, upper secondary or both) or both primary and secondary or other	Mathematic s only or other subjects too	In line with the literatur e	Differentiation regarding the group of teachers (e.g., newly appointed) or group of students (e.g. with special needs)	Period of establishment/Ev aluation and Adjustment of policy
Formative assessment The evaluation of the Creative Project is authenticwith scales of graded criteria of which samples are proposed by the IEP. Depending on the type of creative work, teachers shall choose the appropriate rated criteria Π.Δ. 46/2016	Encouragement	Upper secondary	Other too		no	2016
Formative assessment Self-assessment questionnaires (source: IEP) Π.Δ. 46/2016	Encouragement	Upper secondary	Other too		no	2016
Item banks Sources: • Digital school & e-books - Ministry of Education http://dschool.edu.gr	Encouragement	both	Other too		no	

Country's Profile

& http://ebooks.edu.gr/new/allcourses.php) • IEP • school advisors						
Indicative activities by curriculum-based school advisors	Encouragement	Secondary	Other too		no	2016-2017
Indicative exam questions for the final written evaluation for each lesson by curriculum-based school advisors	Encouragement	Secondary	Other too		Yes (students with special needs)	2016-2017
Educational Guide for Authentic Assessment "AuthenticAssessment: Theoretical Framework and Methodology" (source: IEP)	Encouragement	Primary & Lower secondary	Other too	yes	Yes (students with special needs)	2017

1.5. National assessment mechanisms (if any)								
Policy Measure	No clear Policy or Encouragement or Requirement or Accountability	For secondary only (lower, upper secondary or both) or both primary and secondary or other	Mathematic s only or other subjects too	In line with the literatur e	Differentiation regarding the group of teachers (e.g., newly appointed) or group of students (e.g. with special needs)	Period of establishment/Ev aluation and Adjustment of policy		
Final National Exams	Accountability	Upper secondary	Other too		Yes (students with special needs)			

2. TEACHER TRAINING ON ASSESSMENT (INITIAL AND IN SERVICE) AND COLLABORATION AMONG TEACHERS

2.1. Teacher initial training in terms of the programs of	study offered by the u	niversities, leadin	g to teachers' g	raduate or	post graduate diploma (i.e	e., whether the
Ministry of Education is in any kind involved to the programs of study of the Universities)						

Policy Measure	No clear Policy or Encouragement or Requirement or Accountability	For secondary only (lower, upper secondary or both) or both primary and secondary or other	Mathematic s only or other subjects too	In line with the literatur e	Differentiation regarding the group of teachers (e.g., newly appointed) or group of students (e.g. with special needs)	Period of establishment/Ev aluation and Adjustment of policy
ΠΕΚ (κέντρα εισαγωγικής επιμόρφωσης υπο την αιγίδα του υπουργείου)	Requirement (ήταν υποχρεωτική η συμμετοχή των νεοδιόριστων εκπ.)	вотн	ALL SUBJECTS	YES	Differentiation regarding the group of teachers	Λειτουργούσαν αλλά τώρα δεν λειτουργούν πια (σύμφωνα με τις συζητήσεις με συμβούλους)

2.2. Teacher professional development: in service training courses	s, including mentoring system for newl	y appointed teachers
--	--	----------------------

Policy Measure	No clear Policy or Encourageme nt or Requirement or Accountabilit	For seconda ry only	Mathematic s only or other subjects too	In line with the literatur e	Differentiation regarding the group of teachers (e.g., newly appointed) or group of students (e.g. with special needs)	Period of establishment/Eval uation and Adjustment of policy	Who is offering and where? (e.g. school based in service training)
introductory Training Courses/ 3rd phase: Assessment as a pedagogical act & research process in relation to the student and the didactic work Ο.ΕΠ.ΕΚ	Accountability	Both	Other too	Yes	 For newly appointed teachers Student with special needs 	No data	2010-2011
Educational Seminars /e-learning	Encourageme nt	both	All	yes	Differentiation regarding the group of teachers	No data	School based or in -service training (πηγή: σύμβουλοι)

2.3. Support offered by school advisory bodies (this may not be applicable for centralized systems such as Cyprus and Greece)

Policy Measure	No clear Policy or Encouragement or Requirement or Accountability	For secondary only (lower, upper secondary or both) or both primary and secondary or other	Mathemati cs only or other subjects too	In line with the literature	Differentiation regarding the group of teachers (e.g., newly appointed) or group of students (e.g. with special needs)	Period of establishment/Eval uation and Adjustment of policy

2.4. Teacher cooperation about assessment within a school (including team teaching, exchange of visits among teachers etc.)

Policy Measure	No clear Policy or Encouragement or Requirement or Accountability	For secondary only (lower, upper secondary or both) or both primary and secondary or other	Mathemati cs only or other subjects too	In line with the literature	Differentiation regarding the group of teachers (e.g., newly appointed) or group of students (e.g. with special needs)	Period of establishment/Eval uation and Adjustment of policy
Formative assessment Creative project when it combines many subject areas is evaluated with the cooperation among the relative teachers Π.Δ. 46/2016 Π.Δ. 126/2016	Encouragement	Secondary	Other too		no	2016

2.5. Promoting networking between schools						
Policy Measure	No clear Policy or Encouragement or Requirement or Accountability	For secondary only (lower, upper secondary or both) or both primary and secondary or other	Mathematic s only or other subjects too	In line with the literatur e	Differentiation regarding the group of teachers (e.g., newly appointed) or group of students (e.g. with special needs)	Period of establishment/Ev aluation and Adjustment of policy
Authentic assessment Seminar on authentic assessment 26/03/2018 LINK: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PLusfV1ES2M IEP &School Advisors	Encouragement	Primary & Lower secondary	Other too	yes		2018

3. EVALUATION MECHANISMS IN REGARD TO THE POLICY ON ASSESSMENT AND TEACHER PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT

3.1.	How frequently evaluation projects are undertaken?
	There was an annual report from the school advisors to the Central office - Secondary Education. School advisors explicitly stated that they do not know the results of these reports (interviews with school advisors)
3.2.	Sources of data (e.g. views of different stakeholders, impact on learning) used in evaluating the national policy.
3.3.	Please tell us when a reform policy is typically evaluated. Is it normally continually evaluated (i.e., from the introduction of a reform or whether data only at the end of a reform are collected)?
3.4.	Processes that are used in order to control the validity of evaluation instruments (if any).
3.5	Please indicate the focus of evaluation based on the following and provide details (interviews with school advisors):
3.6.	Please give your views about who has access to the reports of policy reform evaluations (Officials of the ministry, Teachers, School stakeholders, Public, Others).

3.7.	Please state whether the evaluation results are used and for whom. <i>In case they are used</i> specify how they are used. If possible, provide specific examples of how evaluation results have been used in the past.